

December 7, 2011

Laura Rotolo
ACLU of Massachusetts
211 Congress Street
Boston, MA 02110

Re: *American Civil Liberties Union of Massachusetts et. al. v. Edward Davis, the Police Commissioner of the City of Boston, and the Boston Police Department*
Docket No. 2011-03020-H

Dear Attorney Rotolo:

Enclosed please find the remaining documents in the Department's possession which are public and responsive to the Freedom of Information Act requests that comprise the above referenced complaint. Specifically these documents are: 1.) slides from a power point presentation regarding Suspicious Activity Reports (Please be advised that slides from this presentation have been removed because they contain: law enforcement sensitive information regarding ongoing investigations, CORI information, and deployment information. *See M.G.L. c. 4, s. 7(26)(f) and M.G.L. c.6, s. 167-178*); 2.) thirteen (13) Intelligence reports referencing individuals/groups that you represent (Please be advised that of the thirteen reports provided, approximately eleven of them should have been purged from the Department's database prior to your request. However, an error in the Department's software prevented this from occurring. That software error has since been corrected. These reports have not been purged because of the pending litigation); and 3.) fourteen CD's of video footage from the dates and locations referenced in your request. I have also enclosed an updated version of the previously provided spreadsheet to indicate what documents are responsive to each of your requests.

As the Department has conducted a good faith review of its records and provided you with all documents in the Departments possession to my knowledge regarding your requests, with the exception of a small number of Field Interrogation Observation Frisk/Search reports (FIOs) and a selection of law enforcement sensitive power point slides, the Department requests that you withdraw the above referenced complaint. If you choose to continue with the litigation of this matter, I would request that we discuss the scope of litigation moving forward as the provided documents satisfy the vast majority of the complaint.

Sincerely,



Amanda E. Wall

Enclosures